

Read the detailed attack plans that Trump's advisers shared in the Signal group chat.

POLITICS

Here Are the Attack Plans That Trump's Advisers Shared on Signal

The administration has downplayed the importance of the text messages inadvertently sent to *The Atlantic's* editor in chief.

By Jeffrey Goldberg and Shane Harris



Andrew Harnik / Getty

MARCH 26, 2025, 8:19 AM ET

SHARE  SAVE 



Listen

0:00



- 1.0x +

10:12

Produced by ElevenLabs and [News Over Audio \(Noa\)](#) using AI narration. Listen to more stories on the Noa app.

So, about that Signal chat.

On Monday, shortly after we published a story about a massive Trump-administration security breach, a reporter asked the secretary of defense, [Pete Hegseth](#), why he had shared plans about a forthcoming attack on Yemen on the Signal messaging app. He answered, “Nobody was texting war plans. And that’s all I have to say about that.”

ENJOY A YEAR OF UNLIMITED ACCESS TO THE
ATLANTIC—INCLUDING EVERY STORY ON OUR SITE
AND APP, SUBSCRIBER NEWSLETTERS, AND MORE.

Become a Subscriber

This article was featured in the One Story to Read Today newsletter. [Sign up for it here.](#)

At a Senate hearing yesterday, the director of national intelligence, [Tulsi Gabbard](#), and the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, John Ratcliffe, were both asked about the Signal chat, to which Jeffrey Goldberg, the editor in chief of *The Atlantic*, was inadvertently invited by National Security Adviser Michael Waltz. “There was no classified material that was shared in that Signal group,” Gabbard told members of the Senate Intelligence Committee.

Ratcliffe said much the same: “My communications, to be clear, in the Signal message group were entirely permissible and lawful and did not include classified information.”

[President Donald Trump](#), asked yesterday afternoon about the same matter, said, “It wasn’t classified information.”

These statements presented us with a dilemma. In *The Atlantic’s* [initial story about the Signal chat](#)—the “Houthi PC small group,” as it was named by Waltz—we withheld specific information related to weapons and to the timing of attacks that we found in certain texts. As a general rule, we do not publish information about military operations if that information could possibly jeopardize the lives of U.S. personnel. That is why we chose to characterize the nature of the information being shared, not specific details about the attacks.

[Read: The Trump administration accidentally texted me its war plans](#)

The statements by Hegseth, Gabbard, Ratcliffe, and Trump—combined with the assertions made by numerous administration officials that we are lying about the content of the Signal texts—have led us to believe that people should see the texts in order to reach their own conclusions. There is a clear public interest in disclosing the sort of information that Trump advisers included in nonsecure communications channels, especially because senior administration figures are attempting to downplay the significance of the messages that were shared.

DON’T MISS WHAT MATTERS. SIGN UP FOR THE
ATLANTIC DAILY NEWSLETTER.

Enter your email



Sign Up

Your newsletter subscriptions are subject to [The Atlantic’s Privacy Policy](#) and [Terms and Conditions](#).

Experts have repeatedly told us that use of a Signal chat for such sensitive discussions poses a threat to national security. As a case in point, Goldberg received information on the attacks two hours before the scheduled start of the bombing of Houthi

positions. If this information—particularly the exact times American aircraft were taking off for Yemen—had fallen into the wrong hands in that crucial two-hour period, American pilots and other American personnel could have been exposed to even greater danger than they ordinarily would face. The Trump administration is arguing that the military information contained in these texts was not classified—as it typically would be—although the president has not explained how he reached this conclusion.

Yesterday, we asked officials across the Trump administration if they objected to us publishing the full texts. In emails to the Central Intelligence Agency, the Office of the Director of National Intelligence, the National Security Council, the Department of Defense, and the White House, we wrote, in part: “In light of statements today from multiple administration officials, including before the Senate Intelligence Committee, that the information in the Signal chain about the Houthi strike is not classified, and that it does not contain ‘war plans,’ *The Atlantic* is considering publishing the entirety of the Signal chain.”

We sent our first request for comment and feedback to national-security officials shortly after noon, and followed up in the evening after most failed to answer.

Late yesterday, White House Press Secretary Karoline Leavitt emailed a response: “As we have repeatedly stated, there was no classified information transmitted in the group chat. However, as the CIA Director and National Security Advisor have both expressed today, that does not mean we encourage the release of the conversation. This was intended to be an *[sic]* internal and private deliberation amongst high-level senior staff and sensitive information was discussed. So for those reason *[sic]* — yes, we object to the release.” (The Leavitt statement did not address which elements of the texts the White House considered sensitive, or how, more than a week after the initial air strikes, their publication could have bearing on national security.)

A CIA spokesperson asked us to withhold the name of John Ratcliffe’s chief of staff, which Ratcliffe had shared in the Signal chain, because CIA intelligence officers are traditionally not publicly identified. Ratcliffe had testified earlier yesterday that the officer is not undercover and said it was “completely appropriate” to share their name in the Signal conversation. We will continue to withhold the name of the officer. Otherwise, the messages are unredacted.

MAKE YOUR INBOX MORE INTERESTING WITH
NEWSLETTERS FROM YOUR FAVORITE ATLANTIC
WRITERS.

[Browse Newsletters](#)

[Listen: Jeffrey Goldberg on the group chat that broke the internet](#)

As we wrote on Monday, much of the conversation in the “Houthi PC small group” concerned the timing and rationale of attacks on the Houthis, and contained remarks by Trump-administration officials about the alleged shortcomings of America’s European allies. But on the day of the attack—Saturday, March 15—the discussion veered toward the operational.

At 11:44 a.m. eastern time, Hegseth posted in the chat, in all caps, “TEAM UPDATE:”

The text beneath this began, “TIME NOW (1144et): Weather is FAVORABLE. Just CONFIRMED w/CENTCOM we are a GO for mission launch.” Centcom, or Central Command, is the military’s combatant command for the Middle East. The Hegseth text continues:

- “1215et: F-18s LAUNCH (1st strike package)”
- “1345: ‘Trigger Based’ F-18 1st Strike Window Starts (Target Terrorist is @ his Known Location so SHOULD BE ON TIME – also, Strike Drones Launch (MQ-9s)”

Let us pause here for a moment to underscore a point. This Signal message shows that the U.S. secretary of defense texted a group that included a phone number unknown to him—Goldberg’s cellphone—at 11:44 a.m. This was 31 minutes before the first U.S. warplanes launched, and two hours and one minute before the beginning of a period in which a primary target, the Houthi “Target Terrorist,” was expected to be killed by these American aircraft. If this text had been received by someone hostile to American interests—or someone merely indiscreet, and with access to social media—the Houthis would have had time to prepare for what was meant to be a surprise attack on their strongholds. The consequences for American pilots could have been catastrophic.

The Hegseth text then continued:

- “1410: More F-18s LAUNCH (2nd strike package)”
- “1415: Strike Drones on Target (THIS IS WHEN THE FIRST BOMBS WILL DEFINITELY DROP, pending earlier ‘Trigger Based’ targets)”
- “1536 F-18 2nd Strike Starts – also, first sea-based Tomahawks launched.”
- “MORE TO FOLLOW (per timeline)”
- “We are currently clean on OPSEC”—that is, operational security.
- “Godspeed to our Warriors.”

Shortly after, Vice President J. D. Vance texted the group, “I will say a prayer for victory.”

At 1:48 p.m., Waltz sent the following text, containing real-time intelligence about conditions at an attack site, apparently in Sanaa: “VP. Building collapsed. Had multiple positive ID. Pete, Kurilla, the IC, amazing job.” Waltz was referring here to Hegseth; General Michael E. Kurilla, the commander of Central Command; and the intelligence community, or IC. The reference to “multiple positive ID” suggests that U.S. intelligence had ascertained the identities of the Houthi target, or targets, using either human or technical assets.

Six minutes later, the vice president, apparently confused by Waltz’s message, wrote, “What?”

At 2 p.m., Waltz responded: “Typing too fast. The first target – their top missile guy – we had positive ID of him walking into his girlfriend’s building and it’s now collapsed.”

RECOMMENDED READING



The Trump Administration
Accidentally Texted Me Its
War Plans

JEFFREY GOLDBERG



One Word Describes
Trump

JONATHAN RAUCH



The Elite College Students
Who Can’t Read Books

ROSE HOROWITZ

Vance responded a minute later: “Excellent.” Thirty-five minutes after that, Ratcliffe, the CIA director, wrote, “A good start,” which Waltz followed with a text containing a fist emoji, an American-flag emoji, and a fire emoji. The Houthi-run Yemeni health ministry reported that at least 53 people were killed in the strikes, a number that has not been independently verified.

Later that afternoon, Hegseth posted: “CENTCOM was/is on point.” Notably, he then told the group that attacks would be continuing. “Great job all. More strikes ongoing for hours tonight, and will provide full initial report tomorrow. But on time, on target, and good readouts so far.”

It is still unclear why a journalist was added to the text exchange. Waltz, who invited Goldberg into the Signal chat, said yesterday that he was investigating “how the heck he got into this room.”



Houthi PC small group

19 members

Yesterday

+👤 Michael Waltz added you to the group.

🕒 Disappearing message time was set to 1 week.

+👤 MAR added MAR.

Michael Waltz

Team- establishing a principles group for coordination on Houthis, particularly for over the next 72 hours. My deputy Alex Wong is pulling together a tiger team at deputies/agency Chief of Staff level following up from the meeting in the Sit Room this morning for action items and will be sending that out later this evening.

Pls provide the best staff POC from your team for us to coordinate with over the next couple days and over the weekend.
Thx

M

4:28 PM

MAR

M

Mike Needham for State 4:29 PM

JD Vance

JV

Andy baker for VP 4:29 PM

TG

T

Joe Kent for DNI 4:30 PM

Scott B

SB

Dan Katz for Treasury 4:39 PM

Pete Hegseth



Dan Caldwell for DoD 4:53 PM



Brian

B

Brian McCormack for NSC 6:34 PM

Today

Michael Waltz

Team, you should have a statement of conclusions with taskings per the Presidents guidance this morning in your high side inboxes.

State and DOD, we developed suggested notification lists for regional Allies and partners.

Joint Staff is sending this am a more specific sequence of events in the coming days and we will work w DOD to ensure COS, OVP and POTUS are briefed.

M

8:05 AM

Today

JD Vance

Team, I am out for the day doing an economic event in Michigan. But I think we are making a mistake.

3 percent of US trade runs through the suez. 40 percent of European trade does. There is a real risk that the public doesn't understand this or why it's necessary.

The strongest reason to do this is, as POTUS said, to send a message. But I am not sure the president is aware how inconsistent this is with his message on Europe right now. There's a further risk that we see a moderate to severe spike in oil prices.

I am willing to support the consensus of the team and keep these concerns to myself. But there is a strong argument for delaying this a month, doing the messaging work on why this matters, seeing where the economy is, etc.

JV

8:16 AM ⓘ

Joe Kent

There is nothing time sensitive driving the time line. We'll have the exact same options in a month.

The Israelis will likely take strikes & therefore ask us for more support to replenish whatever they use against the Houthis. But that's a minor factor.

I will send you the unclass data we pulled on BAM shipping.

JK

8:22 AM ⓘ

John Ratcliffe

From CIA perspective, we are mobilizing assets to support now but a delay would not negatively impact us and additional time would be used to identify better starting points for coverage on Houthi leadership

JR

8:26 AM ⓘ

Pete Hegseth

Today

VP:

I understand your concerns — and fully support you raising w/ POTUS. Important considerations, most of which are tough to know how they play out (economy, Ukraine peace, Gaza, etc). I think messaging is going to be tough no matter what — nobody knows who the Houthis are — which is why we would need to stay focused on: 1) Biden failed & 2) Iran funded.

Waiting a few weeks or a month does not fundamentally change the calculus. 2 immediate risks on waiting: 1) this leaks, and we look indecisive; 2) Israel takes an action first — or Gaza cease fire falls apart — and we don't get to start this on our own terms. We can manage both.

We are prepared to execute, and if I had final go or no go vote, I believe we should. This not about the Houthis. I see it as two things: 1) Restoring Freedom of Navigation, a core national interest; and 2) Reestablish deterrence, which Biden cratered.





But, we can easily pause. And if we do, I will do all we can to enforce 100% OPSEC. I welcome other thoughts.

8:27 AM ⓘ

Michael Waltz

The trade figures we have are 15% of global and 30% of container. It's difficult to break that down to US. Specific because much of the container either going through the red sea still or around the Cape of Good Hope our components going to Europe that turns into manufactured goods for transatlantic trade to the United States.

Whether we pull the plug or not today European navies do not have the capability to defend against the types of sophisticated, antiship, cruise missiles, and drones the Houthis are now using. So whether it's now or several weeks from now, it will have to be the United States that reopens these shipping lanes. Per the president's request we are working with DOD and State to determine how to compile the cost associated and levy them on the Europeans.



8:32 AM ⓘ



+👤 Michael Waltz added S M.

Michael Waltz

As we stated in the in the first PC we have a fundamental decision of allowing the sea lanes to remain closed or to re-open them now or later, we are the only ones with the capability unfortunately.

From a messaging standpoint we absolutely add this to of horrors on why the Europeans must invest in their defense.

M

8:42 AM ⓘ

JD Vance

@Pete Hegseth if you think we should do it let's go.

I just hate bailing Europe out again.

8:45 AM ⓘ

Let's just make sure our messaging is tight here. And if there are things we can do upfront to minimize risk to Saudi oil facilities we should do it.

JV

8:46 AM ⓘ

Pete Hegseth

VP: I fully share your loathing of European free-loading. It's PATHETIC.

But Mike is correct, we are the only ones on the planet (on our side of the ledger) who can do this. Nobody else even close. Question is timing. I feel like now is as good a time as any, given POTUS directive to reopen shipping lanes. I think we should go; but POTUS still retains 24 hours of decision space.



8:49 AM ⓘ

S M

As I heard it, the president was clear: green light, but we soon make clear to Egypt and Europe what we expect in return. We also need to figure out how to enforce such a requirement. EG, if Europe doesn't remunerate, then what? If the US successfully restores freedom of navigation at great cost there needs to be some further economic gain extracted in return.



9:35 AM ⓘ

Pete Hegseth

Agree 9:46 AM ⓘ



Michael Waltz



30m ⓘ

Pete Hegseth

TEAM UPDATE:

TIME NOW (1144et): Weather is FAVORABLE. Just CONFIRMED w/ CENTCOM we are a GO for mission launch.

1215et: F-18s LAUNCH (1st strike package)

1345: "Trigger Based" F-18 1st Strike Window Starts (Target Terrorist is @ his Known Location so SHOULD BE ON TIME) — also, Strike Drones Launch (MQ-9s)

1410: More F-18s LAUNCH (2nd strike package)

1415: Strike Drones on Target (THIS IS WHEN THE FIRST BOMBS WILL DEFINITELY DROP, pending earlier "Trigger Based" targets)

1536: F-18 2nd Strike Starts — also, first sea-based Tomahawks launched.

MORE TO FOLLOW (per timeline)

We are currently clean on OPSEC.



Godspeed to our Warriors.

19m ⓘ

JD Vance

JV

I will say a prayer for victory 12:13 PM ⓘ



Michael Waltz got disappearing message time to 4

The Atlantic

[Sign In](#)

[Subscribe](#)

Michael Waltz

M

VP. building collapsed. Had multiple positive ID. Pete, Kurilla, the IC, amazing job. 1:48 PM ⓘ

JD Vance

JV

What? 1:54 PM ⓘ

Michael Waltz

M

Typing too fast. The first target - their top missile guy - we had positive ID of him walking into his girlfriend's building and it's now collapsed. 2:00 PM ⓘ



JD Vance

JV

Excellent 2:01 PM ⓘ

John Ratcliffe

JR

A good start 2:36 PM ⓘ

MAR
M Good Job Pete and your team!! 5:14 PM ⓘ

Michael Waltz
M The team in MAL did a great job as well. 5:15 PM ⓘ

S M
SM Great work all. Powerful start. 5:18 PM ⓘ

Pete Hegseth
CENTCOM was/is on point. Great job all. More strikes ongoing for hours tonight, and will provide full initial report tomorrow. But on time, on target, and good readouts so far. 5:20 PM ⓘ

Susie Wiles
S Kudos to all - most particularly those in theater and CENTCOM! Really great. God bless. 5:21 PM ⓘ

Steve Witkoff
SW 🙏🙏💪🇺🇸🇺🇸 5:47 PM ⓘ

TG
T Great work and effects! 6:35 PM ⓘ

11:31

5G 88



Edit

Houthi PC small group

Add group description...



video



mute



search



Disappearing Messages

1w >



Chat Color & Wallpaper



Sounds & Notifications



19 Members



Add Members



You



Michael Waltz

Admin



Scott B



Walker Barrett



Brian



Pete Hegseth



Jacob



Dan Katz



JK Joe Kent



SM S M



M MAR



M MAR



M Mike Needham



JR John Ratcliffe



S Susie Wiles



T TG



JV JD Vance



SW Steve Witkoff



AW Alex Wong



Group Link

Off >



Requests & Invites

0 >



Leave Group



Block Group



Report Spam

ABOUT THE AUTHORS



Jeffrey Goldberg

 Follow



Shane Harris

 Follow



Explore More Topics

[Donald Trump](#), [John Ratcliffe](#), [Pete Hegseth](#), [Tulsi Gabbard](#)

MOST POPULAR

1 Here Are the Attack Plans That Trump's Advisers Shared on Signal

JEFFREY GOLDBERG AND SHANE HARRIS



2 The Trump Administration Accidentally Texted Me Its War Plans

JEFFREY GOLDBERG



3 The Worst Thing a MAGA Warrior Can Do

JONATHAN CHAIT



4 You Can Do Leisure Better, Seriously

ARTHUR C. BROOKS



5 The Tariff Man Is Coming for America's Entrepreneurs

ANNIE LOWREY



6 It's Not Easy Being (Marjorie Taylor) Greene

MARK LEIBOVICH



7 America Is Watching the Rise of a Dual State

AZIZ HUQ



8 The Trump Team's Denials Are Laughable

TOM NICHOLS



9 The Best American Poetry of the 21st Century (So Far)



10 Trump Is Deporting ‘Them’ in Ways That Threaten Us

CONOR FRIEDERSDORF



MORE FROM JEFFREY GOLDBERG



Andrew Harnik / Getty

The Trump Administration Accidentally Texted Me Its War Plans

U.S. national-security leaders included me in a group chat about upcoming military strikes in Yemen. I didn't think it could be real. Then the bombs started falling.



Mandel Ngan / AFP / Getty

Trump: ‘I Need the Kind of Generals That Hitler Had’

The Republican nominee's preoccupation with dictators, and his disdain for the American military, is deepening.



World History Archive / Alamy

A Great President, and His Opposite

Even those who believe they understand George Washington's legacy will be surprised by the degree to which Donald Trump is so obviously his opposite.

MORE FROM SHANE HARRIS



Illustration by Akshita Chandra / The Atlantic. Source: Mark Gibson / Getty.



This Is What Happens When the DOGE Guys Take Over Trump's Military Purge Has Washington Asking 'Who's Next?'
Inside the federal agencies where Elon Musk's people have seized control, fear and chaos reign. The president fired the chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff as part of a stunning shake-up across the military's senior ranks.

MORE FROM POLITICS



Illustration by The Atlantic. Source: Anna Moneymaker / Getty.

It's Not Easy Being (Marjorie Taylor) Greene

A goofy Washington tradition devolves.

MARK LEIBOVICH




Mark Schiefelbein / AP

A Rare Moment of Bipartisan Disbelief

Washington gets a good old-fashioned scandal.

MARK LEIBOVICH



Andrew Harnik / Getty

The United States of Fear

For anyone who's in the president's crosshairs—or who could be—it's the dominant emotion of Trump's second term.

ISAAC STANLEY-BECKER

Andrew Harnik / Getty

The Trump Administration Accidentally Texted Me Its War Plans

U.S. national-security leaders included me in a group chat about upcoming military strikes in Yemen. I didn't think it could be real. Then the bombs started falling.

JEFFREY GOLDBERG

The Atlantic Daily

Get our guide to the day's biggest news and ideas, delivered to your inbox every weekday and Sunday mornings. [See more newsletters](#)

Enter your email



Sign Up

Your newsletter subscriptions are subject to [The Atlantic's Privacy Policy](#) and [Terms and Conditions](#).



Ideas That Matter

Subscribe and support more than 160 years of independent journalism.

Subscribe

About

Contact

Podcasts

Subscription

Follow

[Privacy Policy](#) [Your Privacy Choices](#) [Advertising Guidelines](#) [Terms & Conditions](#) [Terms of Sale](#) [Responsible Disclosure](#) [Site Map](#)

TheAtlantic.com © 2025 The Atlantic Monthly Group. All Rights Reserved.

This site is protected by reCAPTCHA and the Google Privacy Policy and Terms of Service apply