



# Appellate Courts Case Information

2nd Appellate District

Change court



<b>Case Summary</b>
<b>Docket</b>
<b>Briefs</b>
<b>Scheduled Actions</b>
<b>Disposition</b>
<b>Parties and Attorneys</b>
<b>Trial Court</b>

## Docket (Register of Actions)

**Jolie v. Superior Court Los Angeles County et al.**

**Division 7**

**Case Number B351954**

Date	Description	Notes
01/26/2026	Filed petition for writ of:	Petition for Writ of Mandate, Prohibition, or Other Appropriate Relief. Exhibits volumes: Three (3)  **STAY REQUESTED**

01/26/2026	Exhibits filed in support of:	Petition. Volumes: Three (3)
01/26/2026	Filed proof of service.	
01/27/2026	Stay order filed.	<p>THE COURT:</p> <p>The petition for writ of mandate and request for stay of proceedings filed on January 26, 2026 has been read and considered. The real parties in interest are requested to serve and file a preliminary opposition to the petition on or before February 10, 2026. Any reply shall be served and filed on or before February 20, 2026.</p> <p>Pending further order of this court, the trial court's order of December 17, 2025 in Los Angeles County Superior Court case number 22STCV06081 is hereby stayed.</p>
02/10/2026	Opposition - opposition to petition	Preliminary opposition to petition for writ of mandate by real party in interest.
02/20/2026	Reply filed to:	By petitioner
02/25/2026	Alternative writ or OSC issued.	<p>TO THE SUPERIOR COURT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY:</p> <p>You are commanded, immediately upon receipt of this writ, either to:</p> <p>(a) vacate your order of December 17, 2025 in Los Angeles County Superior Court case number 22STCV06081, and thereafter make a new and different order finding that Jolie has met her initial burden of establishing facts necessary to support a prima facie claim of attorney-client privilege and setting the matter for a hearing (see <i>Costco Wholesale Corp. v. Superior Court</i> (2009) 47 Cal.4th 725, 733); or,</p> <p>(b) in the alternative, SHOW CAUSE before</p>

		<p>this court, in its courtroom at 300 South Spring Street, Los Angeles, California when the matter is ordered on calendar, why you 'have not done so and why a peremptory writ of mandate requiring you to do so should not issue.</p> <p>If the respondent intends to comply with alternative (a) above, before doing so it must give the ... parties notice and an opportunity to be heard,' as required by the Supreme Court in Brown, Winfield &amp; Canzoneri, Inc. v. Superior Court (2010) 47 Cal.4th 1233 1250-1251 fn . 10. Petitioner shall inform this court on or before March 27, 2026 whether the respondent has complied with alternative (a) and shall serve and file any new order issued by the respondent.</p> <p>If the respondent complies with alternative (a) above, this court will promptly discharge the alternative writ and dismiss the petition as moot. In the event the respondent court fails to comply with alternative (a) above a written return to this writ shall be served and filed on or before April 10, 2026. Petitioner may file a reply to the written return within 20 days after the filing of the return.</p> <p>By order of this court.</p>
03/06/2026	Received:	Letter from Los Angeles Superior Court with attached minute order

[Click here to request automatic e-mail notifications about this case.](#)